

Reply to the Comment “On the thermodynamics of inhomogeneous perfect fluid mixtures”

Hernando Quevedo*

Instituto de Ciencias Nucleares

Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México

P.O. Box 70-543, México D.F. 04510

and

Department of Physics

University of California

Davis, CA 95616

Rubén D. Zárate†

Instituto de Ciencias Nucleares

Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México

P.O. Box 70-543, México D.F. 04510

Abstract

We show that the analysis presented in a recent comment by Coll and Ferrando [1] (gr-qc/0312058) is based on the erroneous assumption that the chemical potential and fractional concentration of a *mixture* of perfect fluids are unknown variables.

PACS numbers: 04.20.-q, 04.40.Nr, 05.70.-a

*Electronic address: quevedo@physics.ucdavis.edu

†Electronic address: zarate@nuclecu.unam.mx

In a previous comment [1] on a recent paper by the present authors [2], Coll and Ferrando argue that the thermodynamic scheme for a binary mixture of perfect fluids is trivially satisfied by any perfect fluid solution of Einstein's equations. We will show here that this conclusion is erroneous due the fact that when one assumes the existence of a perfect fluid binary mixture, one can not consider the chemical potential and the fractional concentration as unknown variables.

Let us first mention that the comment [1] can be divided into two parts. The first and essential part is contained in proposition 1 which asserts that any perfect fluid solution is compatible with the binary thermodynamic scheme proposed in [2]. The second part contains a detailed analysis of proposition 1 and illustrates its consequences in the case of the Szekeres and Stephani universes. Consequently, it is enough to concentrate on proposition 1.

The proof of proposition 1 is based on Eqs.(4) and (5) of [1]. In Eq.(4), Coll and Ferrando consider the 1-form

$$\omega = d(\rho/n) + pd(1/n) \quad (1)$$

in a 4-dimensional space, and argue that there exist functions s , c , T , and μ such that the 1-form (1) can be written as $\omega = Tds + \mu dc$. This is obviously true as a consequence of Frobenius theorem. (The use of the Pfaffian method is not completely appropriate in this case, as stated in [1], because ω is not a Pfaffian form.) The question is whether the 1-form (1) corresponds to the Gibbs 1-form of a *binary mixture* of perfect fluids and whether it can be used to analyze the thermodynamics of such a system. The answer is negative. Indeed, if we assume that we have a solution of Einstein's equations which represents a *binary mixture* of perfect fluids, it means that we know the thermodynamic variables corresponding to the total energy-density ρ , the pressure p , the total particle number density n , and also the *composition of the mixture*, i.e, the chemical potential μ and the concentration c . If the last two thermodynamic variables are not known, it is impossible to establish if we are dealing with a single perfect fluid or with a mixture of perfect fluids. This implies that the statement in proposition 1 of [1] is not correct.

Consequently, the correct Gibbs 1-form for a binary mixture is

$$\Omega = d(\rho/n) + pd(1/n) - \mu dc \quad (2)$$

and the correct question to be asked is whether there exist functions T and s such that $\Omega = Tds$. In this case, the Frobenius condition is not identically satisfied. Indeed, Frobenius

theorem asserts that $\Omega \wedge d\Omega = 0$ is a necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of the functions T and s . The chemical potential and the fractional concentration are *known* functions and for this reason they can be written in terms of the known variables ρ , p , and n as: $\mu = (\rho + p)/n$ and $c = \ln(c_0/n)$ [cf. eqs.(27) and (29) in [2]]. The analysis performed in [2] for the Szekeres and Stephani universes show that the condition $\Omega \wedge d\Omega = 0$ is, in general, not compatible with the entropy production condition $\dot{s} = -(\mu/T)\dot{c}$.

Thus, we have shown that it is not possible to use the 1-form (1) to investigate the thermodynamic properties of a binary mixture. But if we insist in using it, we certainly will find the set of functions T , s , μ , and c for the new representation of ω . However, one would see that these functions can not be interpreted as temperature, entropy density, chemical potential and fractional concentration, respectively. This would be an additional example of a well-behaved solution to a well-posed mathematical problem which, nevertheless, has no physical significance.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by DGAPA-UNAM grant IN112401, CONACyT-Mexico grant 36581-E, and US DOE grant DE-FG03-91ER 40674. R.D.Z. was supported by a CONACyT Graduate Fellowship. H.Q. thanks UC MEXUS CONACyT (Sabbatical Fellowship Programm) for support.

-
- [1] Coll B and Ferrando J J 2004 Comment on the thermodynamics of inhomogeneous perfect fluid mixtures, *Class. Quantum Grav.* (2004) submitted. *Preprint* arXiv:gr-qc/0312058
 - [2] Zarate R D and Quevedo H 2004 *Class. Quantum Grav.* **21** 197 [arXiv:gr-qc/0310087]